

demologic analysis and genotypic characterization of a nosocomial outbreak of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci*. *J Clin Microbiol* **1993**; 31:1280–5.

8. Carmeli Y, Eliopoulos GM, Samore MH. Antecedent treatment with different antibiotic agents as a risk factor for vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2002**; 8:802–7.
9. Donskey CJ, Chowdhry TK, Hecker MT, et al. Effect of antibiotic therapy on the density of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* in the stool of colonized patients. *N Engl J Med* **2000**; 343: 1925–32.
10. Teasley DG, Gerding DN, Olson MM, et al. Prospective randomized trial of metronidazole versus vancomycin for *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea and colitis. *Lancet* **1983**; 2:1043–6.
11. Wenisch C, Parschall B, Hasenhundl M, Hirschl AM, Graninger W. Comparison of vancomycin, teicoplanin, metronidazole, and fusidic acid for the treatment of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea. *Clin Infect Dis* **1996**; 22:813–8.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Fred Arthur Zar, Dept. of Medicine (M/C 718), University of Illinois at Chicago, Rm. 440 CSN, 840 S. Wood St., Chicago, IL 60612-7323 (fazar@uic.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases **2007**;45:1649–51

© 2007 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2007/4512-0023\$15.00
DOI: 10.1086/523717

Nosocomial Transmission of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome: Better Quality of Evidence Is Needed

TO THE EDITOR—We would like to comment on the article by Yu et al. [1] regarding the risk factors for nosocomial outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome that occurred in some hospital wards and not in others. The authors performed a retrospective case-control study at the ward level and found that several environmental or administrative factors and host factors, such as oxygen therapy or bilevel positive airway pressure ventilation, were associated with the occurrence of these outbreaks. We wish to discuss the study's most important methodological flaws, which may invalidate the results, and to emphasize some points that should be taken into consideration in future studies.

First, the definition of a superspreading event is questionable. The authors made

the strong assumption that the clustered cases were all secondary to a single identified (or unknown) index case and that transmissions occurred within the ward. The assessment of the transmission chain seems to be based solely on the timing of events, although it is possible that all transmissions within a “superspreading” event were neither nosocomial nor related to the putative index case.

Second, the measure of the exposures suffers from very important limitations. Data collection was performed 1–3 years after the events, leading to an important recall bias. Some exposures were of ecological nature (applying similarly to all patients in the ward at a given time), thus making it impossible to be sure that a given patient was really exposed to the risk factor under consideration. Finally, some exposures were measured during a 10-day window period after the index patient's hospital admission (or the first case of the cluster); consequently, some exposures were measured after the transmission event, violating the basic principle that the risk factor needs to precede the disease.

Finally, it is possible that some wards experienced >1 outbreak of infection or that several patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome were admitted, but the authors failed to explain how they dealt with this type of situation and the selection procedure. Because transmission was more intense at the beginning of the outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome, a selection bias may have played a role, resulting in most of the case wards being selected at the beginning of the outbreak and most of the control wards being selected towards the end of the outbreak and, therefore, jeopardizing any comparisons.

Study of this topic poses difficult challenges and relies on observational and retrospective studies. However, interventions to reduce infection risk are fundamental to promote safety in the workplace, and health policy makers face the difficult dilemma of balancing needs and available

resources. Although there is little doubt that some procedures may increase transmission [2], the risk associated with several respiratory support techniques observed in the study by Yu et al. [1], in addition to the aforementioned limitations, cannot be interpreted without information about individual compliance with standard infection-control measures and use of personal protective equipment [3, 4]. Robust data are critical for policy making, and sound recommendations require a better quality of evidence.

Acknowledgments

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: no conflicts

Stéphane Hugonnet,¹ Dominique Legros,² Cathy Roth,² and Carmem Lucia Pessoa-Silva²

¹Infection Control Program, University of Geneva Hospitals, and ²Department of Epidemic and Pandemic Alert and Response, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

References

1. Yu IT, Xie ZH, Tsoi KK, et al. Why did outbreaks of severe acute respiratory syndrome occur in some hospital wards but not in others? *Clin Infect Dis* **2007**; 44:1017–25.
2. Fowler RA, Guest CB, Lapinsky SE, et al. Transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome during intubation and mechanical ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **2004**; 169: 1198–202.
3. Loeb M, McGeer A, Henry B, et al. SARS among critical care nurses, Toronto. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2004**; 10:251–5.
4. Hugonnet S, Pittet D. Transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome in critical care: do we need a change? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* **2004**; 169:1177–8.

The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this publication, and they do not necessarily represent the decisions or the stated policy of the World Health Organization.

Reprints or correspondence: Dr. Stéphane Hugonnet, Infection Control Program, University of Geneva Hospitals, Rue Micheli-du-Crest 24, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland (stephane.hugonnet@hcuge.ch).

Clinical Infectious Diseases **2007**;45:1651

© 2007 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. 1058-4838/2007/4512-0023\$15.00
DOI: 10.1086/523725